IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.K.DENESAN

TUESDAY, THE 28TH NOVEMBER 2006 / 7TH AGRAHAYANA 1928

WP(C).No. 30159 of 2006(M)

PETITIONER:

T.R. KRISHNANKUTTY,
SUB REGISTRAR, SUB REGISTRARS OFFICER,
POTTANIKAD, ERNAKULAM DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.M.POLY MATHAI
SRI.P.CHELLAPPAN

RESPONDENTS:
---

1. STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY
CHIEF SECRETARY, SECRETARIAT,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF REGISTRATION
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY GOVT. PLEADER SHRI. BIJOY CHANDRAN

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 28/11/2006, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

K.K.DENESAN, J.

WP(C)No. 30159 OF 2006

Dated this the 28th November, 2006.

JUDGMENT

Ext.P7 is a representation filed by the petitioner who belongs to the category of Sub Registrar, invoking Rule 27B of Part II K.S & S.S.R. Several contentions have been taken by the petitioner against the present recasting of the seniority list which is said to be done pursuant to the judgment of the apex court. According to the petitioner the respondents will be in a position to implement the judgment of the apex court without disturbing the seniority assigned to the petitioner because even before the inter-district transfer he had the right to get promotion from the entry cadre to the next higher category.

2. Also going into the merits of the contentions at this stage, it is for the first respondent to look into the factual and legal aspects of the grievance of the petitioner and to redress the same if he deserves in accordance with law. Early decision shall be taken on Ext.P7. If the first respondent feels that relief shall be granted to the petitioner, anybody who may be affected by such decision shall be afforded an opportunity of being heard. Needless to state that in that event the petitioner shall also be heard. Time for passing orders on Ext.P7 is

two months on the petitioner producing a copy of the judgment along with a copy of the writ petition.

K.K.DENESAN
Judge

jj